arXiv:0908.1635v2 [math.QA] 24 Aug 2009

NOTES ON TWO-PARAMETER QUANTUM GROUPS, (II)

NAIHONG HU⋆ AND YUFENG PEI†

Abstract. This paper is the sequel to [11] to study the deformed structures and representations of two-parameter quantum groups $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ associated to the finite dimensional simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$. An equivalence of the braided tensor categories $\mathcal{O}^{r,s}$ and $\mathcal{O}^q$ is explicitly established.

1. Introduction

In [11], the authors introduced an unified definition for a class of two-parameter quantum groups $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ associated to finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ in terms of the Euler form and showed that the positive parts of quantum groups are 2-cocycle deformations of each other as graded associative algebras if two parameters r, s satisfy certain conditions. This work is a continuation of the paper [11] to characterize the structure of two-parameter quantum groups $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ and the category of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules.

In this paper, with the help of (r,s)-skew derivations introduced in [11], we prove the positive part $U_{r,s}^{+}$ has a natural $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module algebra structure. Partially motivated by Doi-Takeuchi's [7] and Majid's [13] results on Hopf 2-cocycle deformation theory, we show that the two-parameter quantum groups $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ can be obtained from the one-parameter quantum group $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$ by twisting the multiplication via an explicit Hopf 2-cocycle σ, that is,

$$U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq U^{\sigma}_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \text{(as Hopf algebras).} \tag{1}$$

It is noticed that this kind of deformation of the algebra structure depends on its coalgebra structure. Inspired by Hodges-Levasseur-Toro's [9] work on the multi-parameter quantum groups, we prove that $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ can be deformed from $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$ as bigraded

---

⋆N. Hu, supported in part by the NNSFC (Grants 10431040, 10728102), the PCSIRT, the National/Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (Project Number: B407).

†Y. Pei, supported in part by the NNSFC (Grant 10571119), the ZJNSF (Grant Y607136) and the Leading Academic Discipline Project of Shanghai Normal University (Grant DZL803).

structures by twisting the multiplication via a bicharacter ζ of the free abelian group $Q \times Q$ (where Q is the root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$):

$$U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq U_{q,q^{-1},\zeta}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \text{(as Q-bigrading Hopf algebras)}, \tag{2}$$

which recovers Theorem 3.3 in [11] when it is restricted to the positive part of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$. As an application, we give a new and simple proof for the existence of nondegenerate skew Hopf pairing on $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$, which were studied previously in [5, 3] where verifying the (r,s)-Serre relations to be preserved resulted in rather involved formulas.

Representation theory of two-parameter quantum groups $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ under the assumption rs^{-1} being nonroot of unity has been investigated in [6, 4], for $\mathfrak{g}$ classical. It was showed that the category $\mathcal{O}^{r,s}$ of finite-dimensional weight $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules (of type 1) is a semisimple braided tensor category. A natural question is to find the explicit relations between the categories $\mathcal{O}^{r,s}$ and $\mathcal{O}^q$, where $\mathcal{O}^q$ is the category of finite-dimensional weight $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules (of type 1) ([10]) and $\mathfrak{g}$ is of finite type. Our main theorem is

Theorem *As braided tensor categories, the categories $\mathcal{O}^{r,s}$ and $\mathcal{O}^q$ are equivalent.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition of the two-parameter quantum groups given in [11] and some basic properties. In Section 3, we show that $U_{r,s}^+$ is a $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module algebra. Section 4 is devoted to the study of a certain Hopf 2-cocycle deformation of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. In Section 5 we discuss bigraded deformation of Hopf algebras. In Section 6, we give a new and simple proof for the existence of nondegenerate skew Hopf pairing on $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ and obtain an equivalence of the braided tensor categories.

2. **Two-parameter quantum groups**

2.1. Let us start with some notations. For $n > 0$, let

$$(n)_v = 1 + v + \cdots + v^{n-1} = \frac{v^n - 1}{v - 1}.$$

$$(n)_v! = (1)_v(2)_v \cdots (n)_v \quad \text{and} \quad (0)_v! = 1.$$

$$\binom{n}{k}_v = \frac{(n)_v!}{(k)_v!(n-k)_v!}, \quad [n]_v = \frac{v^n - v^{-n}}{v - v^{-1}}.$$

$$[n]_v! = [1]_v[2]_v \cdots [n]_v, \quad [0]_v! = 1, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_v = \frac{[n]_v!}{[k]_v![n-k]_v!}.$$

Throughout the paper, we denote by $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$ the sets of integers, of positive integers, of non-negative integers, of complex numbers and of rational numbers, respectively.

2.2. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over a field $\mathbb{K} \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ and $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ be an associated Cartan matrix. Let d_i be relatively prime positive integers such that $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$ for $i, j \in I$. Let $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$ be the set of simple roots, $Q = \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ root lattice, $Q^+ = \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{N}\alpha_i$ positive root lattice, Λ weight lattice, and Λ^+ the set of dominant weights. Let Φ be the set of roots and Φ^+ positive roots. Let $\mathbb{Q}(r, s)$ be the rational functions field in two variables r, s over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $r_i = r^{d_i}$, $s_i = s^{d_i}$ for $i \in I$. Now let $\mathbb{K} \supseteq \mathbb{Q}(r, s)$ be a field such that $(rs^{-1})^{\frac{1}{m}} \in \mathbb{K}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $m\Lambda \subseteq Q$ for the possibly smallest positive integer m. We always assume that rs^{-1} is not a root of unity. Let $\langle -, -\rangle$ be the Euler bilinear form on $Q \times Q$ defined by

$$\langle i, j\rangle := \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j\rangle = \begin{cases} d_i a_{ij} & i < j, \\ d_i & i = j, \\ 0 & i > j. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we linearly extend the bilinear form $\langle -, -\rangle$ to be defined on $\Lambda \times \Lambda$ such that $\langle \lambda, i\rangle = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \langle j, i\rangle$, or $\langle i, \lambda\rangle = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \langle i, j\rangle$ for $\lambda = \frac{1}{m}\sum_j a_j \alpha_j$ with $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 4 (Hu-Pei [11]). *The two-parameter quantum group $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ generated by $e_i, f_i, \omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega_i'^{\pm 1}$, $i \in I$, subject to the relations:*

$$(R1) \qquad \omega_i^{\pm 1}\omega_i^{\mp 1} = \omega_i'^{\pm 1}\omega_i'^{\mp 1} = 1,$$

$$(R2) \qquad [\omega_i, \omega_j] = [\omega_i', \omega_j'] = [\omega_i, \omega_j'] = 0,$$

$$(R3) \qquad \omega_i e_j = r^{\langle j,i\rangle} s^{-\langle i,j\rangle} e_j \omega_i, \qquad \omega_i' e_j = r^{-\langle i,j\rangle} s^{\langle j,i\rangle} e_j \omega_i'.$$

$$(R4) \qquad \omega_i f_j = r^{-\langle j,i\rangle} s^{\langle i,j\rangle} f_j \omega_i, \qquad \omega_i' f_j = r^{\langle i,j\rangle} s^{-\langle j,i\rangle} f_j \omega_i'.$$

$$(R5) \qquad e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{i,j} \frac{\omega_i - \omega_i'}{r_i - s_i}.$$

$$(R6) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{r_i s_i^{-1}} c_{ij}^{(k)} e_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}\, e_j\, e_i^k = 0, \qquad (i \neq j),$$

$$(R7) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{r_i s_i^{-1}} c_{ij}^{(k)} f_i^k\, f_j\, f_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} = 0, \qquad (i \neq j),$$

where

$$c_{ij}^{(k)}=(r_is_i^{-1})^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}r^{k\langle j,i\rangle}s^{-k\langle i,j\rangle},\qquad \textit{for}\ \ i\neq j.$$

The algebra $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ has a Hopf algebra structure with the comultiplication, the counit and the antipode given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\omega_i^{\pm1})&=\omega_i^{\pm1}\otimes\omega_i^{\pm1}, &\qquad \Delta({\omega'_i}^{\pm1})&={\omega'_i}^{\pm1}\otimes{\omega'_i}^{\pm1},\\
\Delta(e_i)&=e_i\otimes 1+\omega_i\otimes e_i, &\qquad \Delta(f_i)&=1\otimes f_i+f_i\otimes\omega'_i,\\
\varepsilon(\omega_i^{\pm1})&=\varepsilon({\omega'_i}^{\pm1})=1, &\qquad \varepsilon(e_i)&=\varepsilon(f_i)=0,\\
S(\omega_i^{\pm1})&=\omega_i^{\mp1}, &\qquad S({\omega'_i}^{\pm1})&={\omega'_i}^{\mp1},\\
S(e_i)&=-\omega_i^{-1}e_i, &\qquad S(f_i)&=-f_i\,{\omega'_i}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $U_{r,s}^+$ (respectively, $U_{r,s}^-$) be the subalgebra of $U_{r,s}:=U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the elements e_i (respectively, f_i) for $i\in I$, and $U_{r,s}^0$ the subalgebra of $U_{r,s}$ generated by $\omega_i^{\pm1},{\omega'_i}^{\pm1}$ for $i\in I$. Moreover, let $U_{r,s}^{\geq0}$ (respectively, $U_{r,s}^{\leq0}$) be the subalgebra of $U_{r,s}$ generated by the elements e_i, $\omega_i^{\pm1}$ for $i\in I$ (respectively, f_i, ${\omega'_i}^{\pm1}$ for $i\in I$). For each $\mu\in Q$ (the root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$), we define elements ω_μ and ω'_μ by

$$\omega_\mu=\prod_{i\in I}\omega_i^{\mu_i},\quad \omega'_\mu=\prod_{i\in I}{\omega'_i}^{\mu_i},\qquad \textit{for}\ \ \mu=\sum_{i\in I}\mu_i\alpha_i\in Q.$$

For $\beta\in Q^+$, let

$$(U_{r,s}^{\pm})_{\pm\beta}=\left\{x\in U_{r,s}^{\pm}\,\middle|\,\omega_\mu x\omega_{-\mu}=r^{\langle\beta,\mu\rangle}s^{-\langle\mu,\beta\rangle}x,\ \omega'_\mu x\omega'_{-\mu}=r^{-\langle\mu,\beta\rangle}s^{\langle\beta,\mu\rangle}x,\ \forall\ \mu\in Q\right\},$$

then

$$U_{r,s}^{\pm}=\bigoplus_{\beta\in Q^+}(U_{r,s}^{\pm})_{\pm\beta}$$

are Q^+-graded.

2.3. Assume that $r=s^{-1}=q$, it is clear that $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ becomes the one-parameter quantum group $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$ of Drinfel'd-Jimbo type with double group-like elements, which is a unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ generated by $E_i,F_i,K_i^{\pm1},{K'_i}^{\pm1},(i\in I)$, subject to the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(1) \qquad K_i^{\pm 1} K_j^{\pm 1} = K_j^{\pm 1} K_i^{\pm 1}, \qquad K_i^{\pm 1} K_i^{\mp 1} = K_i'^{\pm 1} K_i'^{\mp 1} = 1,\\
&(2) \qquad K_i E_j K_i^{-1} = q_i^{a_{ij}} E_j, \qquad K_i' E_j K_i'^{-1} = q_i^{-a_{ij}} E_j,\\
&(3) \qquad K_i F_j K_i^{-1} = q_i^{-a_{ij}} F_j, \qquad K_i' F_j K_i'^{-1} = q_i^{a_{ij}} F_j,\\
&(4) \qquad [\,E_i, F_j\,] = \delta_{i,j} \frac{K_i - K_i'}{q_i - q_i^{-1}},\\
&(5) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} E_j E_i^k = 0, \quad i \neq j,\\
&(6) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} F_j F_i^k = 0, \quad i \neq j.
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, it is known that $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$ has a Hopf algebra structure with the comultiplication, the counit and the antipode given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Delta(K_i^{\pm 1}) = K_i^{\pm 1} \otimes K_i^{\pm 1}, && \Delta(K_i'^{\pm 1}) = K_i'^{\pm 1} \otimes K_i'^{\pm 1},\\
&\Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_i, && \Delta(F_i) = 1 \otimes F_i + F_i \otimes K_i',\\
&\varepsilon(K_i^{\pm 1}) = \varepsilon(K_i'^{\pm 1}) = 1, && \varepsilon(E_i) = \varepsilon(F_i) = 0,\\
&S(K_i^{\pm 1}) = K_i^{\mp 1}, && S(K_i'^{\pm 1}) = K_i'^{\mp 1},\\
&S(E_i) = -K_i^{-1} E_i, && S(F_i) = -F_i\, K_i'^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5}
$$

By abuse of notation, we denote $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) := U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let U_q^+ (respectively, U_q^-) be the subalgebra of $U_q := U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the elements E_i (respectively, F_i) for $i \in I$, and U_q^0 the subalgebra of U_q generated by $K_i^{\pm 1}, K_i'^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in I$. Moreover, let $U_q^{\geq 0}$ (respectively, $U_q^{\leq 0}$) be the subalgebra of U_q generated by the elements E_i, $K_i^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in I$ (respectively, F_i, $K_i'^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in I$). For each $\mu \in Q$ (the root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$), we denote K_μ and K'_μ by

$$
K_\mu = \prod_{i \in I} K_i^{\mu_i}, \quad K'_\mu = \prod_{i \in I} K_i'^{\mu_i}, \qquad \text{for } \ \mu = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \alpha_i \in Q.
$$

For $\beta \in Q^+$, let

$$
(U_q^{\pm})_{\pm\beta} = \left\{ x \in U_q^{\pm} \;\middle|\; K_\mu x K_{-\mu} = q^{(\mu,\beta)} x,\ K'_\mu x K'_{-\mu} = q^{-(\mu,\beta)} x,\ \forall\ \mu \in Q \right\},
$$

then $U_q=\bigoplus_{\beta\in Q}(U_q)_\beta$ is a Q-graded algebra. Moreover, there exists a unique nondegenerate skew Hopf pairing $\langle\,,\,\rangle_q$ between $U_q^{\leq 0}$ and $U_q^{\geq 0}$, such that

$$\langle F_i, E_j\rangle_q=\delta_{i,j}\frac{1}{q_i^{-1}-q_i}, \tag{6}$$

$$\langle xK'_\mu, yK_\nu\rangle_q=q^{(\mu,\nu)}\langle x,y\rangle_q \tag{7}$$

for any $i,j\in I, x\in U_q^{\leq 0}, y\in U_q^{\geq 0}, \mu,\nu\in Q$. Therefore, U_q has the Drinfel'd double structure, that is, as Hopf algebras, we have the following isomorphism:

$$U_q\simeq\mathcal{D}(U_q^{\leq 0}, U_q^{\geq 0}, \langle\,,\,\rangle_q). \tag{8}$$

3. $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module algebra structure over $U_{r,s}^+$

Let $(H,m,1,\Delta,\varepsilon,S)$ be a Hopf algebra over a field k. Recall that an (associative) algebra A over k an H-module algebra if A has an (left) H-module structure such that

$$(1)\quad h1_A=\varepsilon(h)1_A,\qquad (2)\quad h(ab)=\sum(h_{(1)}a)(h_{(2)}b),$$

for $h\in H$, $a,b\in A$ with $\Delta(h)=\sum h_{(1)}\otimes h_{(2)}$. Here the second condition means that the multiplication is a homomorphism of H-modules.

3.1. **Skew derivations.** By the definition of coproduct, we have

$$\Delta(x)\in\bigoplus_{0\leq\nu\leq\beta}(U_{r,s}^+)_{\beta-\nu}\omega_\nu\otimes(U_{r,s}^+)_\nu,\quad \forall\ x\in(U_{r,s}^+)_\beta.$$

For $i\in I$ and $\beta\in Q^+$, define linear maps

$$\hat\partial_i,\ {}_i\hat\partial:(U_{r,s}^+)_\beta\longrightarrow(U_{r,s}^+)_{\beta-\alpha_i},$$

such that

$$\Delta(x)=x\otimes 1+\sum_{i\in I}\hat\partial_i(x)\,\omega_i\otimes e_i+\text{the rest},$$

$$\Delta(x)=\omega_\beta\otimes x+\sum_{i\in I}e_i\,\omega_{\beta-\alpha_i}\otimes\,{}_i\hat\partial(x)+\text{the rest}.$$

Here in each case "the rest" refers to terms involving products of more than one e_j in the second (resp. first) factor. Let

$$\partial_i:=\frac{1}{r_i-s_i}\hat\partial_i,\quad {}_i\partial:=\frac{1}{r_i-s_i}{}_i\hat\partial. \tag{9}$$

Then we have the following lemma

Lemma 10. *For any* $i,\, j \in I$, $x \in (U_{r,s})^+_\beta$, $x' \in (U^+_{r,s})_{\beta'}$, $y \in U^-_{r,s}$, *we have*

(i) $\partial_i(xx') = r^{\langle \beta', \alpha_i\rangle} s^{-\langle \alpha_i, \beta'\rangle} \partial_i(x)\, x' + x\, \partial_i(x')$,

(ii) ${}_i\partial(xx') = {}_i\partial(x)\, x' + r^{\langle \alpha_i, \beta\rangle} s^{-\langle \beta, \alpha_i\rangle} x\, {}_i\partial(x')$,

(iii) $\langle f_i y,\, x\rangle_{r,s} = \langle y,\, {}_i\partial(x)\rangle_{r,s}$,

(iv) $\langle y f_i,\, x\rangle_{r,s} = \langle y,\, \partial_i(x)\rangle_{r,s}$,

(v) $f_i x - x f_i = \partial_i(x)\, \omega_i - \omega'_i\, {}_i\partial(x)$,

(vi) $\partial_i\, {}_j\partial = {}_j\partial \partial_i$.

Let $\mathrm{e}_i,\ {}_i\mathrm{e} : U^+_{r,s} \longrightarrow U^+_{r,s}$ be defined by

$$\mathrm{e}_i(x) = x\, \mathrm{e}_i, \quad {}_i\mathrm{e}\,(x') = e_i\, x', \tag{11}$$

for any $x, x' \in (U^+_{r,s})_\beta$. Then

Corollary 12. *For any* $i,\, j \in I$, *we have*

(i) $\partial_i\, \mathrm{e}_j = r^{\langle j,i\rangle} s^{-\langle i,j\rangle} \mathrm{e}_j\, \partial_i + \delta_{i,j}$,

(ii) ${}_i\partial\, {}_j\mathrm{e} = r^{\langle i,j\rangle} s^{-\langle j,i\rangle}\, {}_j\mathrm{e}\, {}_i\partial + \delta_{i,j}$.

Proposition 13 (Hu-Pei [11])**.** *For any* $i \neq j$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{r_i s_i^{-1}} c_{ij}^{(k)}\, {}_i\partial^{1-a_{ij}-k}\, {}_j\partial\, {}_i\partial^k = 0, \tag{14}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{r_i s_i^{-1}} c_{ij}^{(k)}\, \partial_i^k\, \partial_j\, \partial_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} = 0, \tag{15}$$

where

$$c_{ij}^{(k)} = (r_i s_i^{-1})^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} r^{k\langle j,i\rangle} s^{-k\langle i,j\rangle}, \qquad \text{for } \ i \neq j.$$

Define two linear operators over $U^+_{r,s}$ as follows:

$$\overline{\partial_i},\ \overline{{}_i\partial} :\ U^+_{r,s} \longrightarrow U^+_{r,s}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\partial_i}(x) &= r^{-\langle \alpha_i, \beta-\alpha_i\rangle} s^{\langle \beta-\alpha_i, \alpha_i\rangle}\, {}_i\partial(x), \qquad \forall\, x \in (U^+_{r,s})_\beta, \\ \overline{{}_i\partial}(x) &= r^{-\langle \beta-\alpha_i, \alpha_i\rangle} s^{\langle \alpha_i, \beta-\alpha_i\rangle} \partial_i(x), \qquad \forall\, x \in (U^+_{r,s})_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 16. *For any* $i, j \in I$, $x \in (U^+_{r,s})_\beta$, $x' \in (U^+_{r,s})_{\beta'}$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\partial_i}(xx') &= r^{-\langle \alpha_i, \beta' \rangle} s^{\langle \beta', \alpha_i \rangle} \overline{\partial_i}(x)\, x' + x\, \overline{\partial_i}(x'), \\
\overline{{}_i\partial}(xx') &= \overline{{}_i\partial}(x)\, x' + r^{-\langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle} s^{\langle \alpha_i, \beta \rangle} x\, \overline{{}_i\partial}(x'), \\
\overline{\partial_i}\mathrm{e}_j &= r^{-\langle i,j \rangle} s^{\langle j,i \rangle} \mathrm{e}_j\, \overline{\partial_i} + \delta_{i,j}, \\
\overline{{}_i\partial}\, {}_j\mathrm{e} &= r^{-\langle j,i \rangle} s^{\langle i,j \rangle}\, {}_j\mathrm{e}\, \overline{{}_i\partial} + \delta_{i,j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 17. *For any* $i \neq j$, *we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{r_i s_i^{-1}} c_{ij}^{(k)}\, \overline{\partial_i}^{k}\, \overline{\partial_j}\, \overline{\partial_i}^{1-a_{ij}-k} = 0, \tag{18}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{r_i s_i^{-1}} c_{ij}^{(k)}\, \overline{{}_i\partial}^{1-a_{ij}-k}\, \overline{{}_j\partial}\, \overline{{}_i\partial}^{k} = 0, \tag{19}$$

where

$$c_{ij}^{(k)} = (r_i s_i^{-1})^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} r^{k\langle j,i \rangle} s^{-k\langle i,j \rangle}, \qquad \textit{for} \;\; i \neq j.$$

Proof. By the definition of $\overline{\partial_i}$, $\overline{{}_i\partial}$ and Proposition 13. □

3.2. **Module algebra structure.** For any $\mu \in Q$, $i \in I$, $x \in (U^+_{r,s})_\beta$, we define the action $\triangleright$ by :

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_\mu \triangleright x &= r^{\langle \beta, \mu \rangle} s^{-\langle \mu, \beta \rangle} x, \\
\omega'_\mu \triangleright x &= r^{-\langle \mu, \beta \rangle} s^{\langle \beta, \mu \rangle} x, \\
e_i \triangleright x &= \frac{e_i x - r^{\langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle} s^{-\langle \alpha_i, \beta \rangle} x e_i}{r_i - s_i}, \\
f_i \triangleright x &= \overline{\partial_i}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 20. $U^+_{r,s}$ *is a* $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$*-module algebra.*

Proof. First, we show that $U^+_{r,s}$ is a $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module. In fact, for $x \in (U^+_{r,s})_\beta$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(r_i - s_i)(e_i \triangleright f_j \triangleright - f_j \triangleright e_i \triangleright)(x) \\
&\quad = (r_i - s_i) e_i \triangleright (\overline{\partial_j}(x)) - f_j \triangleright (e_i x - r^{\langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle} s^{-\langle \alpha_i, \beta \rangle} x e_i)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= e_i\overline{\partial_j}(x) - r^{\langle \beta-\alpha_j,\alpha_i\rangle} s^{-\langle \alpha_i,\beta-\alpha_j\rangle}\overline{\partial_j}(x)e_i - \overline{\partial_j}(e_i x) + r^{\langle\beta,\alpha_i\rangle}s^{-\langle\alpha_i,\beta\rangle}\overline{\partial_j}(xe_i)\\
&= e_i\overline{\partial_j}(x) - r^{\langle \beta-\alpha_j,\alpha_i\rangle} s^{-\langle \alpha_i,\beta-\alpha_j\rangle}\overline{\partial_j}(x)e_i - (\delta_{i,j} r^{-\langle\alpha_j,\beta\rangle}s^{\langle\beta,\alpha_j\rangle}x + e_i\overline{\partial_j}(x))\\
&\quad + r^{\langle\beta,\alpha_i\rangle}s^{-\langle\alpha_i,\beta\rangle}(\delta_{i,j}x + r^{-\langle j,i\rangle}s^{\langle i,j\rangle}\overline{\partial_j}(x)e_i)\\
&= \delta_{i,j}(r^{\langle\beta,\alpha_i\rangle}s^{-\langle\alpha_i,\beta\rangle} - r^{-\langle\alpha_j,\beta\rangle}s^{\langle\beta,\alpha_j\rangle})(x)\\
&= \delta_{i,j}(\omega_i-\omega'_j)\triangleright x.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that e_i satisfies (r,s)-Serre relation (R6). By (18), f_i satisfies (r,s)-Serre relation (R7).

Next, we show that $U^+_{r,s}$ is a $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-module algebra. For any $x\in(U^+_{r,s})_\beta$, $x'\in(U^+_{r,s})_{\beta'}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_\mu\triangleright(xx') &= r^{\langle\beta+\beta',\mu\rangle}s^{-\langle\mu,\beta+\beta'\rangle}xx' = (\omega_\mu\triangleright x)(\omega_\mu\triangleright x'),\\
\omega'_\mu\triangleright(xx') &= r^{-\langle\mu,\beta+\beta'\rangle}s^{\langle\beta+\beta',\mu\rangle}xx' = (\omega'_\mu\triangleright x)(\omega'_\mu\triangleright x'),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(r_i-s_i)e_i\triangleright(xx')\\
&\quad= e_ixx' - r^{\langle\beta+\beta',\alpha_i\rangle}s^{-\langle\alpha_i,\beta+\beta'\rangle}xx'e_i\\
&\quad= (e_ix - r^{\langle\beta,\alpha_i\rangle}s^{-\langle\alpha_i,\beta\rangle}xe_i)x' + r^{\langle\beta,\alpha_i\rangle}s^{-\langle\alpha_i,\beta\rangle}x(e_ix' - r^{\langle\beta',\alpha_i\rangle}s^{-\langle\alpha_i,\beta'\rangle}x'e_i)\\
&\quad= (r_i-s_i)(e_i\triangleright x)(1\triangleright x') + (r_i-s_i)(\omega_i\triangleright x)(e_i\triangleright x'),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_i\triangleright(xx') = \overline{\partial_i}(xx') &= r^{-\langle\alpha_i,\beta'\rangle}s^{\langle\beta'\alpha_i\rangle}\overline{\partial_i}(x)\,x' + x\,\overline{\partial_i}(x')\\
&= (f_i\triangleright x)(\omega'_i\triangleright x') + (1\triangleright x)(f_i\triangleright x').
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

4. **Hopf 2-cocycle deformation**

Definition 21. *Let $(H,m,1,\Delta,\varepsilon,S)$ be a Hopf algebra over a field k. A bilinear form $\sigma:\ H\times H\longrightarrow k$ is called a Hopf 2-cocycle for H if it has an inverse σ^{-1} under the convolution product, and satisfies the cocycle conditions:*

$$\sum\sigma(a_1,b_1)\sigma(a_2b_2,c)=\sum\sigma(b_1,c_1)\sigma(a,b_2c_2), \tag{22}$$

$$\sigma(a,1)=\varepsilon(a)=\sigma(1,a),\qquad \forall\ a,b,c\in H. \tag{23}$$

Following Doi-Takeuchi [7] and Majid [13], one can construct a new Hopf algebra structure $(H^\sigma,\ m^\sigma,1,\Delta,\varepsilon,S^\sigma)$ on the coalgebra (H,Δ,ε). The new multiplication m^σ

is given by

$$m^{\sigma}(a\otimes b)=\sum\sigma(a_1,b_1)a_2b_2\sigma^{-1}(a_3,b_3). \tag{24}$$

The new antipode S^{σ} is given by

$$S^{\sigma}(a)=\sum\sigma^{-1}(a_1,S(a_2))S(a_3)\sigma(S(a_4),a_5),\quad \forall\, a\in H. \tag{25}$$

Proposition 26. *Assume that $rs^{-1}=q^2$. Let $\sigma: U_q(\mathfrak{g})\times U_q(\mathfrak{g})\longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a bilinear map defined as*

$$\sigma(x,y)=\begin{cases} r^{\frac{\langle\nu,\mu\rangle}{2}}s^{-\frac{\langle\mu,\nu\rangle}{2}}, & \forall\ x=K_{\mu},\ \textit{or}\ K'_{\mu},\quad y=K_{\nu},\ \textit{or}\ K'_{\nu},\ \mu,\nu\in Q,\\ 0, & \qquad \textit{otherwise}.\end{cases} \tag{27}$$

Then σ is a Hopf 2-cocycle on U_q in the sense of Definition 21.

Proof. It is clear that σ satisfies the condition (23). For any homogeneous elements $x,\ y,\ z\in U_q$, if $x,\ y,\ z\in U_q^0$, it is clear that σ satisfies the condition (22). If $x\notin U_q^0$, then $\sum\sigma(y_1,z_1)\sigma(x,y_2z_2)=0$. On the other hand, let $a\otimes b$ be any one of the summands in $\Delta(x)$, then $a\otimes b\notin U_q^0\otimes U_q^0$, that is, $a\notin U_q^0$ or $b\notin U_q^0$. It follows that $\sum\sigma(a,y_1)\sigma(by_2,z)=0$. Therefore, σ also satisfies the condition (22). Similarly, we can prove that σ also satisfies the condition (22) if $y\notin U_q^0$ or $z\notin U_q^0$. □

Theorem 28. *Let $rs^{-1}=q^2$ and σ be defined as (27). Then, as $\mathbb{K}$-Hopf algebras,*

$$U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})\simeq U_q^{\sigma}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where U_q^{σ} is the new Hopf algebra arising from the deformation by Hopf 2-cocycle σ.

Proof. Let $\phi: U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})\longrightarrow U_q^{\sigma}(\mathfrak{g})$ be a linear map defined by

$$\phi(e_i)=E_i,\quad \phi(f_i)=(s_iq_i)^{-1}F_i,\quad \phi(\omega_i)=K_i,\quad \phi(\omega_i')=K_i'.$$

It suffices to show that ϕ is an algebra homomorphism. Note that

$$\Delta^2(K_i)=K_i\otimes K_i\otimes K_i,\quad \Delta^2(K_i')=K_i'\otimes K_i'\otimes K_i',$$
$$\Delta^2(E_i)=E_i\otimes 1\otimes 1+K_i\otimes E_i\otimes 1+K_i\otimes K_i\otimes E_i,$$
$$\Delta^2(F_i)=1\otimes 1\otimes F_i+1\otimes F_i\otimes K_i'+F_i\otimes K_i'\otimes K_i'.$$

Define $x*y:=m^{\sigma}(x\otimes y),\quad \forall\ x,\ y\in U_q$. Then it is easy to check

$$K_i^{\pm1}*K_i^{\mp1}=K_i'^{\pm1}*K_i'^{\mp1}=1,$$
$$K_i*K_j=K_j*K_i,\quad K_i'*K_j'=K_j'*K_i',\quad K_i*K_j'=K_j'*K_i.$$

By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_i * E_j &= \sigma(K_i, K_j) K_i E_j = \sigma(K_i, K_j) q^{\langle i,j\rangle + \langle j,i\rangle} E_j K_i \\
&= \sigma(K_i, K_j) q^{\langle i,j\rangle + \langle j,i\rangle} \sigma(K_j, K_i)^{-1} E_j * K_i \\
&= r^{\frac{\langle j,i\rangle}{2}} s^{-\frac{\langle i,j\rangle}{2}} (rs^{-1})^{\frac{\langle i,j\rangle + \langle j,i\rangle}{2}} r^{-\frac{\langle i,j\rangle}{2}} s^{\frac{\langle j,i\rangle}{2}} E_j * K_i \\
&= r^{\langle j,i\rangle} s^{-\langle i,j\rangle} E_j * K_i, \\
K_i' * E_j &= \sigma(K_i', K_j) K_i' E_j = \sigma(K_i', K_j) q^{-\langle i,j\rangle - \langle j,i\rangle} E_j K_i' \\
&= \sigma(K_i', K_j) q^{-\langle i,j\rangle - \langle j,i\rangle} \sigma(K_j, K_i')^{-1} E_j * K_i' \\
&= r^{\frac{\langle j,i\rangle}{2}} s^{-\frac{\langle i,j\rangle}{2}} (rs^{-1})^{-\frac{\langle i,j\rangle + \langle j,i\rangle}{2}} r^{-\frac{\langle i,j\rangle}{2}} s^{\frac{\langle j,i\rangle}{2}} E_j * K_i' \\
&= r^{-\langle i,j\rangle} s^{\langle j,i\rangle} E_j * K_i', \\
K_i * F_j &= \sigma(K_i, K_j)^{-1} K_i F_j = \sigma(K_i, K_j)^{-1} q^{-\langle i,j\rangle - \langle j,i\rangle} F_j K_i \\
&= \sigma(K_i, K_j)^{-1} q^{-\langle i,j\rangle - \langle j,i\rangle} \sigma(K_j, K_i) F_j * K_i \\
&= r^{-\frac{\langle j,i\rangle}{2}} s^{\frac{\langle i,j\rangle}{2}} (rs^{-1})^{-\frac{\langle i,j\rangle + \langle j,i\rangle}{2}} r^{\frac{\langle i,j\rangle}{2}} s^{-\frac{\langle j,i\rangle}{2}} F_j * K_i \\
&= r^{-\langle j,i\rangle} s^{\langle i,j\rangle} F_j * K_i, \\
K_i' * F_j &= \sigma(K_i', K_j)^{-1} K_i' F_j = \sigma(K_i', K_j)^{-1} q^{\langle i,j\rangle + \langle j,i\rangle} F_j K_i' \\
&= \sigma(K_i', K_j)^{-1} q^{\langle i,j\rangle + \langle j,i\rangle} \sigma(K_j, K_i') F_j * K_i' \\
&= r^{-\frac{\langle j,i\rangle}{2}} s^{\frac{\langle i,j\rangle}{2}} (rs^{-1})^{\frac{\langle i,j\rangle + \langle j,i\rangle}{2}} r^{\frac{\langle i,j\rangle}{2}} s^{-\frac{\langle j,i\rangle}{2}} F_j * K_i' \\
&= r^{\langle i,j\rangle} s^{-\langle j,i\rangle} F_j * K_i', \\
E_i * F_j - F_j * E_i &= E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{i,j} \frac{K_i - K_i'}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we will prove

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{r_i s_i^{-1}} c_{ij}^{(k)} E_i^{*(1-a_{ij}-k)} * E_j * E_i^{*k} = 0, \ (i \neq j),$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{r_i s_i^{-1}} c_{ij}^{(k)} F_i^{*k} * F_j * F_i^{*(1-a_{ij}-k)} = 0, \ (i \neq j),$$

where $c_{ij}^{(k)} = (r_i s_i^{-1})^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} r^{k\langle j,i\rangle} s^{-k\langle i,j\rangle}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
E_i^{*(1-a_{ij}-k)} * E_j * E_i^{*k} &= \sigma(K_i, K_i)^{\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{2}} \sigma(K_i, K_j)^{1-a_{ij}-k} \sigma(K_j, K_i)^k E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} E_j E_i^k \\
&= (r_i s_i^{-1})^{\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{2}} r^{\frac{(1-a_{ij}-k)\langle j,i\rangle + k\langle i,j\rangle}{2}} s^{-\frac{(1-a_{ij}-k)\langle i,j\rangle + k\langle j,i\rangle}{2}} E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} E_j E_i^k
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= (r_is_i^{-1})^{\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{2}}\big(r^{\langle j,i\rangle}s^{-\langle i,j\rangle}\big)^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}}(rs)^{\frac{-k\langle j,i\rangle+k\langle i,j\rangle}{2}}E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}E_jE_i^k,\\
c_{ij}^{(k)}(rs)^{\frac{-k\langle j,i\rangle+k\langle i,j\rangle}{2}} &= (r_is_i^{-1})^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}(r_is_i^{-1})^{\frac{ka_{ij}}{2}} = q_i^{k(k-1+a_{ij})},
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^k\binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{r_is_i^{-1}}c_{ij}^{(k)}E_i^{*(1-a_{ij}-k)}*E_j*E_i^{*k}\\
&\quad= (r_is_i^{-1})^{\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{2}}\big(r^{\langle j,i\rangle}s^{-\langle i,j\rangle}\big)^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}}\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^k\binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_i^2}q_i^{k(k-1+a_{ij})}E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}E_jE_i^k\\
&\quad= (r_is_i^{-1})^{\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{2}}\big(r^{\langle j,i\rangle}s^{-\langle i,j\rangle}\big)^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}}\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^k\begin{bmatrix}1-a_{ij}\\ k\end{bmatrix}_{q_i}E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}E_jE_i^k\\
&\quad= 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_i^{*k}*F_j*F_i^{*(1-a_{ij}-k)} &= \sigma(K_i',K_i')^{-\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{2}}\sigma(K_i',K_j')^{-k}\sigma(K_j',K_i')^{-(1-a_{ij}-k)}F_i^kF_jF_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}\\
&= (r_is_i^{-1})^{-\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{2}}r^{-\frac{k\langle j,i\rangle+(1-a_{ij}-k)\langle i,j\rangle}{2}}s^{\frac{k\langle i,j\rangle+(1-a_{ij}-k)\langle j,i\rangle}{2}}F_i^kF_jF_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}\\
&= (r_is_i^{-1})^{-\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{2}}\big(r^{\langle i,j\rangle}s^{-\langle j,i\rangle}\big)^{-\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}}(rs)^{-\frac{k\langle j,i\rangle-k\langle i,j\rangle}{2}}F_i^kF_jF_i^{1-a_{ij}-k},\\
c_{ij}^{(1-a_{ij}-k)}&(rs)^{\frac{-(1-a_{ij}-k)\langle j,i\rangle+(1-a_{ij}-k)\langle i,j\rangle}{2}}\\
&= (r_is_i^{-1})^{\frac{(a_{ij}-1+k)(a_{ij}+k)}{2}}(r_is_i^{-1})^{\frac{(1-a_{ij}-k)a_{ij}}{2}} = q_i^{k(k-1+a_{ij})}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^k\binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{r_is_i^{-1}}c_{ij}^{(k)}F_i^{*k}*F_j*F_i^{*(1-a_{ij}-k)}\\
&\quad= (r_is_i^{-1})^{-\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{2}}\big(r^{\langle i,j\rangle}s^{-\langle j,i\rangle}\big)^{-\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}}\times\\
&\qquad\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^k\binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_i^2}c_{ij}^{(k)}(rs)^{-\frac{k\langle j,i\rangle-k\langle i,j\rangle}{2}}F_i^kF_jF_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}\\
&\quad= (r_is_i^{-1})^{-\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{2}}\big(r^{\langle i,j\rangle}s^{-\langle j,i\rangle}\big)^{-\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}}\times\\
&\qquad\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^k\binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_i^2}c_{ij}^{(k)}(rs)^{-\frac{k\langle j,i\rangle-k\langle i,j\rangle}{2}}F_i^kF_jF_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= (r_i s_i^{-1})^{-\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{2}} (r^{\langle i,j\rangle} s^{-\langle j,i\rangle})^{-\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}} \times \\
&\quad \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^{1-a_{ij}-k} \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_i^2} c_{ij}^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} (rs)^{-\frac{(1-a_{ij}-k)\langle j,i\rangle - (1-a_{ij}-k)\langle i,j\rangle}{2}} F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} F_j F_i^k \\
&= (r_i s_i^{-1})^{-\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{2}} (r^{\langle i,j\rangle} s^{-\langle j,i\rangle})^{-\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q_i^2} q_i^{k(k-1+a_{ij})} F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} F_j F_i^k \\
&= (r_i s_i^{-1})^{-\frac{(a_{ij}-1)a_{ij}}{2}} (r^{\langle i,j\rangle} s^{-\langle j,i\rangle})^{-\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} F_j F_i^k \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Remark 29. *Let* $rs^{-1} = q^2$ *and* $\sigma' : U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \times U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ *be a bilinear form defined as*

$$
\sigma'(x,y) = \begin{cases} (\frac{q}{r})^{\langle \mu,\nu\rangle}, & \forall \ \ x = K_\mu, \ \text{or } K'_\mu, \ \ y = K_\nu, \ \text{or } K'_\nu, \ \mu,\nu \in Q, \\ 0, & \qquad\qquad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Similarly, one can check directly that σ' *is also a Hopf* 2*-cocycle of* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$*. In fact,* σ *and* σ' *are cohomologous Hopf* 2*-cocycles in the sense of Majid* [13].

5. **Bigraded Hopf algebras**

Lemma 30 ([2])**.** *Let* $A = \bigoplus_{g\in G} A_g$ *be a* G*-graded associative algebra over a field* k*, where* G *is an abelian group. Let* $\psi : G \times G \to k^*$ *be a* 2*-cocycle of group* G*. We introduce a new multiplication* $\cdot$ *on* A *as follows: For any* $x \in A_g$*,* $y \in A_h$*, where* g*,* $h \in G$*, we define*

$$x \cdot y = \psi(g,h)\, x\, y.$$

Denote this new algebra by A^ψ*. Then* A^ψ *is a* G*-graded associative algebra.*

Definition 31 ([9])**.** *Let* $G = \{g_i \mid i \in I\}$ *be a free abelian group. A Hopf algebra* $(A, i, m, \varepsilon, \Delta, S)$ *over a field* k *is a* G*-bigraded Hopf algebra if it is equipped with a* $G \times G$*-grading*

$$H = \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in G\times G} H_{\alpha,\beta}$$

such that

$$k \subseteq H_{0,0},$$

$$H_{\alpha,\beta} H_{\alpha',\beta'} \subset H_{\alpha+\alpha',\beta+\beta'},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(H_{\alpha,\beta}) &\subset \sum_{\gamma\in G} H_{\alpha,\gamma}\otimes H_{-\gamma,\beta},\\ \varepsilon(H_{\alpha,\beta}) &= 0, \quad \textit{for} \ \ \alpha\neq-\beta,\\ S(H_{\alpha,\beta}) &\subset H_{\beta,\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma : G\times G \to k^*$ be a skew bicharacter over G. Then one can define $\tilde{\sigma} : (G\times G)\times(G\times G)\longrightarrow k^*$ such that

$$\tilde{\sigma}((\alpha,\beta),(\alpha',\beta')) = \sigma(\alpha,\alpha')\sigma(\beta,\beta')^{-1}.$$

It is clear that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a 2-cocycle of group $G\times G$. Let $(H,1,m,\varepsilon,\Delta,S)$ be a G-bigraded Hopf algebra. Define a new product $\circ$ as

$$a\circ b = \tilde{\sigma}((\alpha,\beta),(\alpha',\beta'))ab = \sigma(\alpha,\alpha')\sigma(\beta,\beta')^{-1}ab, \quad \forall\ a\in A_{\alpha,\beta},\ b\in A_{\alpha',\beta'}.$$

Then $H_\sigma := (H,1,\circ,\varepsilon,\Delta,S)$ is a G-bigraded Hopf algebra [9].

5.1. For any $i\in I$ and $\mu\in Q$, define

$$E_i\in(U_q)_{\alpha_i,0},\ F_i\in(U_q)_{0,-\alpha_i},\ K_i\in(U_q)_{\alpha_i,-\alpha_i},\ K_i'\in(U_q)_{\alpha_i,-\alpha_i}.$$

It is clear that $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Q-bigraded Hopf algebra.

Assume that $r_i s_i^{-1} = q^{2d_i}$, $\forall\ i\in I$. Let

$$p_{ij} = r^{\langle j,i\rangle}s^{-\langle i,j\rangle}q^{-d_i a_{ij}}. \tag{32}$$

Then

$$p_{ii}=1, \quad p_{ij}p_{ji}=1, \quad \forall\ i,\ j\in I. \tag{33}$$

Proposition 34. *Let* $\zeta : Q\times Q\to\mathbb{K}^*,\ \zeta(\alpha_i,\alpha_j) = p_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2}},\ \forall\, i,\ j\in I$. *Then* ζ *is a skew bicharacter on* Q. *As* Q*-bigraded Hopf algebras, we have*

$$U_{q,\zeta}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g}). \tag{35}$$

Proof. It suffices to check the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}K_i\circ E_j &= \zeta(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)\zeta^{-1}(-\alpha_i,0)K_iE_j\\ &= \zeta(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)q^{d_ia_{ij}}\zeta(\alpha_j,\alpha_i)^{-1}E_j\circ K_i\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= p_{ij}q^{d_ia_{ij}}E_j \circ K_i\\
&= r^{\langle j,i\rangle}s^{-\langle i,j\rangle}E_j \circ K_i,\\
K_i' \circ E_j &= \zeta(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)\zeta^{-1}(-\alpha_i,0)K_i'E_j\\
&= \zeta(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)q^{-d_ia_{ij}}\zeta(\alpha_j,\alpha_i)^{-1}E_j \circ K_i'\\
&= p_{ij}q^{-d_ia_{ij}}E_j \circ K_i'\\
&= r^{-\langle i,j\rangle}s^{\langle j,i\rangle}E_j \circ K_i,\\
K_i \circ F_j &= \zeta(\alpha_i,0)\zeta^{-1}(-\alpha_i,-\alpha_j)K_iF_j\\
&= \zeta^{-1}(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)q^{-d_ia_{ij}}\zeta(\alpha_j,\alpha_i)F_j \circ K_i'\\
&= p_{ij}^{-1}q^{-d_ia_{ij}}F_j \circ K_i'\\
&= r^{-\langle j,i\rangle}s^{\langle i,j\rangle}F_j \circ K_i',\\
K_i' \circ F_j &= \zeta(\alpha_i,0)\zeta^{-1}(-\alpha_i,-\alpha_j)K_i'F_j\\
&= \zeta^{-1}(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)q^{d_ia_{ij}}\zeta(\alpha_j,\alpha_i)F_j \circ K_i'\\
&= p_{ji}q^{d_ia_{ij}}F_j \circ K_i'\\
&= r^{\langle i,j\rangle}s^{-\langle j,i\rangle}F_j \circ K_i',\\
E_i \circ F_j - F_j \circ E_i &= E_iF_j - F_jE_i = \delta_{i,j}\frac{K_i - K_i'}{q^{d_i} - q^{-d_i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$E_i^{\circ(1-a_{ij}-k)} \circ E_j \circ E_i^{\circ k} = p_{ij}^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}}p_{ij}^{-k}E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}E_jE_i^k,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^k\binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{r_is_i^{-1}}c_{ij}^{(k)}E_i^{\circ(1-a_{ij}-k)} \circ E_j \circ E_i^{\circ k}\\
&\quad= \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^k\binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{r_is_i^{-1}}(r_is_i^{-1})^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}r^{k\langle j,i\rangle}s^{-k\langle i,j\rangle}p_{ij}^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}}p_{ij}^{-k}E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}E_jE_i^k\\
&\quad= p_{ij}^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}}\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^k\binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q^{2d_i}}q^{d_ik(k-1)}r^{k\langle j,i\rangle}s^{-k\langle i,j\rangle}p_{ij}^{-k}E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}E_jE_i^k\\
&\quad= p_{ij}^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}}\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-1)^k\binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q^{2d_i}}q^{d_ik(k-1+a_{ij})}E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}E_jE_i^k
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= p_{ij}^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} E_j E_i^k \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$F_i^{\circ k} \circ F_j \circ F_i^{\circ(1-a_{ij}-k)} = p_{ij}^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}} p_{ij}^{-k} F_i^k F_j F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{r_i s_i^{-1}} c_{ij}^{(k)}\, F_i^{\circ k} \circ F_j \circ F_i^{\circ(1-a_{ij}-k)} \\ &\quad = \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{r_i s_i^{-1}} (r_i s_i^{-1})^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} r^{k\langle j,i\rangle} s^{-k\langle i,j\rangle} p_{ij}^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}} p_{ij}^{-k} F_i^k F_j F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} \\ &\quad = p_{ij}^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \binom{1-a_{ij}}{k}_{q^{2d_i}} q^{d_i k(k-1+a_{ij})} F_i^k F_j F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} \\ &\quad = p_{ij}^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} F_i^k F_j F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} \\ &\quad = p_{ij}^{\frac{1-a_{ij}}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} F_j F_i^k \\ &\quad = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

As a corollary, we recover a result in [11].

Corollary 36 ([11])**.** *Let* $U_{q,\zeta}^{\pm}$ *be the deformation of* $U_q^{\pm}$ *by* ζ. *Then, as* Q*-graded algebras, we have*

$$U_{r,s}^{\pm} \simeq U_{q,\zeta}^{\pm}.$$

Remark 37. *When* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is of type* D_n, $U_{r,s}(D_n)$ *is slightly different from* $U'_{r,s}(D_n)$ *defined in* [3]. *In fact, they are related by a* Q*-bigraded deformation.*

For example, for type D_4, *we have*

$$U_{r,s}(D_4) \to \begin{pmatrix} rs^{-1} & r^{-1} & 1 & 1 \\ s & rs^{-1} & r^{-1} & r^{-1} \\ 1 & s & rs^{-1} & 1 \\ 1 & s & 1 & rs^{-1} \end{pmatrix},\ U'_{r,s}(D_4) \to \begin{pmatrix} rs^{-1} & r^{-1} & 1 & 1 \\ s & rs^{-1} & r^{-1} & r^{-1} \\ 1 & s & rs^{-1} & (rs)^{-1} \\ 1 & s & rs & rs^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It suffices to set

$$(p_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & (rs)^{-1} \\ 1 & 1 & rs & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In general, for type D_n, it suffices to take $p_{ij} = (rs)^{\delta_{i,n}\delta_{j,n-1}-\delta_{i,n-1}\delta_{j,n}}$.

5.2. As applications, we will give a new and simple proof for the existence of nondegenerate skew Hopf pairing on $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proposition 38. *Let $\langle , \rangle_q$ be the skew Hopf pairing on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Define a bilinear form as follows:*

$$\langle\,,\,\rangle_{q,\zeta} : U_{q,\zeta}^{\leq 0} \times U_{q,\zeta}^{\geq 0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$$

$$\langle y, x\rangle_{q,\zeta} = \zeta(\beta,\alpha)^{-1}\zeta(\beta',\alpha')^{-1}\langle y, x\rangle_q, \quad \forall\, x \in (U_{q,\zeta}^{\geq 0})_{\alpha,\alpha'},\ y \in (U_{q,\zeta}^{\leq 0})_{\beta,\beta'}.$$

Then $\langle\,,\,\rangle_{q,\zeta}$ is a unique nondegenerate skew Hopf pairing on $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. It is clear that $\langle\,,\,\rangle_{q,\zeta}$ is unique and nondegenerate since $\langle\,,\,\rangle_q$ is nondegenerate.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle K_i', K_j\rangle_{q,\zeta} &= \zeta(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)^{-2}\langle K_i', K_j\rangle_q = p_{ij}^{-1} q^{d_i a_{ij}} \\ &= p_{ji} q^{d_i a_{ij}} = r^{\langle i,j\rangle} s^{-\langle j,i\rangle} q^{-d_i a_{ij}} q^{d_i a_{ij}} = r^{\langle i,j\rangle} s^{-\langle j,i\rangle}, \\ \langle F_i, E_j\rangle_{q,\zeta} &= \langle F_i, E_j\rangle_q = \delta_{i,j}\frac{-1}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

For any $y \in (U_{q,\zeta}^{\leq 0})_{\beta,\gamma},\ x_i \in (U_{q,\zeta}^{\geq 0})_{\beta_i,\gamma_i}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle y, x_1 \circ x_2\rangle_{q,\zeta} &= \zeta(\beta,\beta_1+\beta_2)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma,\gamma_1+\gamma_2)^{-1}\zeta(\beta_1,\beta_2)\zeta(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)^{-1}\langle y, x_1x_2\rangle_q \\ &= \zeta(\beta,\beta_1+\beta_2)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma,\gamma_1+\gamma_2)^{-1}\zeta(\beta_1,\beta_2)\zeta(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)^{-1}\langle y_1, x_2\rangle_q\langle y_2, x_1\rangle_q,\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta(y) = \sum y_1 \otimes y_2,\ y_1 \in (U_{q,\zeta}^{\leq 0})_{\beta,\nu},\ y_2 \in (U_{q,\zeta}^{\leq 0})_{-\nu,\gamma}$ with $\nu \in Q$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle y_1, x_2\rangle_{q,\zeta}\langle y_2, x_1\rangle_{q,\zeta} &= \zeta(\beta,\beta_2)^{-1}\zeta(\nu,\gamma_2)^{-1}\langle y_1, x_2\rangle_q\zeta(-\nu,\beta_1)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma,\gamma_1)^{-1}\langle y_2, x_1\rangle_q \\ &= \zeta(\beta,\beta_2)^{-1}\zeta(\nu,\gamma_2)^{-1}\zeta(-\nu,\beta_1)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma,\gamma_1)^{-1}\langle y_1, x_2\rangle_q\langle y_2, x_1\rangle_q.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta+\nu+\beta_2+\gamma_2 = 0,\ \gamma-\nu+\beta_1+\gamma_1 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\zeta(\beta,\beta_2)^{-1}\zeta(\nu,\gamma_2)^{-1}\zeta(-\nu,\beta_1)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma,\gamma_1)^{-1} \\ &\quad= \zeta(\beta,\beta_2)^{-1}\zeta(\beta_1+\gamma_1+\gamma,\gamma_2)^{-1}\zeta(\beta_2+\gamma_2+\beta,\beta_1)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma,\gamma_1)^{-1} \\ &\quad= \zeta(\beta,\beta_1+\beta_2)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma,\gamma_1+\gamma_2)^{-1}\zeta(\beta_1,\beta_2)\zeta(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\langle y, x_1 \circ x_2\rangle_{q,\zeta} = \langle y_1, x_2\rangle_{q,\zeta}\langle y_2, x_1\rangle_{q,\zeta}$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle y_1 \circ y_2, x\rangle_{q,\zeta} &= \zeta(\beta_1+\beta_2,\beta)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma_1+\gamma_2,\gamma)^{-1}\zeta(\beta_1,\beta_2)\zeta(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)^{-1}\langle y_1y_2, x\rangle_q\\ &= \zeta(\beta_1+\beta_2,\beta)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma_1+\gamma_2,\gamma)^{-1}\zeta(\beta_1,\beta_2)\zeta(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)^{-1}\langle y_1, x_1\rangle_q\langle y_2, x_2\rangle_q,\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta(x) = \sum x_1 \otimes x_2,\ x_1 \in (U_{q,\zeta}^{\geq 0})_{\beta,\nu},\ x_2 \in (U_{q,\zeta}^{\geq 0})_{-\nu,\gamma}$. Since

$$\langle y_1, x_1\rangle_{q,\zeta}\langle y_2, x_2\rangle_{q,\zeta} = \zeta(\beta_1,\beta)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma_1,\nu)^{-1}\zeta(\beta_2,-\nu)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma_2,\gamma)^{-1}\langle y_1, x_1\rangle_q\langle y_2, x_2\rangle_q.$$

Since $\beta_1+\gamma_1+\beta+\nu = 0,\ \beta_2+\gamma_2+\gamma-\nu = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\zeta(\beta_1,\beta)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma_1,\nu)^{-1}\zeta(\beta_2,-\nu)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma_2,\gamma)^{-1}\\ &\quad= \zeta(\beta_1,\beta)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma_1,\beta_2+\gamma_2+\gamma)^{-1}\zeta(\beta_2,\beta_1+\gamma_1+\beta)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma_2,\gamma)^{-1}\\ &\quad= \zeta(\beta_1+\beta_2,\beta)^{-1}\zeta(\gamma_1+\gamma_2,\gamma)^{-1}\zeta(\beta_1,\beta_2)\zeta(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\langle y_1 \circ y_2, x\rangle_{q,\zeta} = \langle y_1, x_1\rangle_{q,\zeta}\langle y_2, x_2\rangle_{q,\zeta}$. □

Corollary 39. *Let* $\langle\, ,\, \rangle_{r,s}$ *be the skew Hopf pairing on* $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ *constructed in* [11] (*see also* [5, 3]), *we have* $\langle\, ,\, \rangle_{q,\zeta} = \langle\, ,\, \rangle_{r,s}$.

6. Deformed representation theory

In the above sections, we considered $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ as a deformation structure of $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Correspondingly, we continue to consider $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules as the deformation structure of $U_{q,q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. To this end, we need to extend the definitions of (skew)bicharacter ζ or p_{ij} to be defined on $\Lambda\times\Lambda$ such that $\zeta(\lambda,\alpha_i) = \Pi_{j=1}^n \zeta(\alpha_j,\alpha_i)^{\frac{a_j}{m}} = \zeta(\alpha_i,\lambda)^{-1}$, or $p_{\lambda,\alpha_i} = \Pi_{j=1}^n (p_{ji}^{\frac{1}{m}})^{a_j}$ for $\lambda = \frac{1}{m}\sum_j a_j\alpha_j \in \Lambda$.

Definition 40. *The category* $\mathcal{O}^{r,s}$ *consists of finite-dimensional* $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$*-modules* $V^{r,s}$ (*of type* 1) *satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) $V^{r,s}$ *has a weight space decomposition* $V^{r,s} = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda} V_\lambda^{r,s}$, *where*

$$V_\lambda^{r,s} = \{v \in V^{r,s} \mid \omega_i v = r^{\langle\lambda,\alpha_i\rangle}s^{-\langle\alpha_i,\lambda\rangle}v,\ \omega_i' v = r^{-\langle\alpha_i,\lambda\rangle}s^{\langle\lambda,\alpha_i\rangle}v,\ \forall\, i \in I\}$$

and $\dim V_\lambda^{r,s} < \infty$ *for all* $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

(2) *there exist a finite number of weights* $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_t \in \Lambda$ *such that*

$$\mathrm{wt}(V^{r,s}) \subset D(\lambda_1)\cup\cdots\cup D(\lambda_t),$$

where $D(\lambda_i) := \{\mu \in \Lambda \mid \mu < \lambda_i\}$. *The morphisms are taken to be usual* $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$*-module homomorphisms.*

Let $r = q$ and $s = q^{-1}$, we get the category $\mathcal{O}^q = \mathcal{O}^{q,q^{-1}}$.

Proposition 41. *Let $V^q \in Ob(\mathcal{O}^q)$, Then V^q has a natural $U_{q,\zeta}(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure.*

$$x \cdot_\zeta v = \zeta(\alpha - \beta, \lambda)\zeta(\alpha, \beta)x.v, \quad \forall\, x \in (U_q)_{\alpha,\beta},\ \forall\, v \in V^q_\lambda.$$

Denote this module by $V^{q,\zeta}$.

Proof. By the definition above,

$$\begin{aligned}
K_i \cdot_\zeta v &= \zeta(2\alpha_i, \lambda)K_i.v = p_{\alpha_i\lambda}q^{(\alpha_i,\lambda)}v = r^{\langle\lambda,\alpha_i\rangle}s^{-\langle\alpha_i,\lambda\rangle}v,\\
K_i' \cdot_\zeta v &= \zeta(2\alpha_i, \lambda)K_i'.v = p_{\alpha_i\lambda}q^{-(\alpha_i,\lambda)}v = p^{-1}_{\lambda\alpha_i}q^{-(\alpha_i,\lambda)}v = r^{-\langle\alpha_i,\lambda\rangle}s^{\langle\lambda,\alpha_i\rangle}v,\\
E_i \cdot_\zeta v &= \zeta(\alpha_i, \lambda)E_i.v,\\
F_i \cdot_\zeta v &= \zeta(\alpha_i, \lambda)F_i.v.
\end{aligned}$$

For any $v \in V^q_\lambda$ and $i \in I$,

$$K_i \cdot_\zeta (E_j \cdot_\zeta (K_i^{-1} \cdot_\zeta v)) = r^{\langle j,i\rangle}s^{-\langle i,j\rangle}\zeta(\alpha_j, \lambda)E_j.v = (K_i \circ E_j \circ K_i^{-1}) \cdot_\zeta v.$$

Similarly, we can check the other relations. □

Let $\mathcal{O}^{q,\zeta}$ be the category consisting of $U_{q,\zeta}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules $V^{q,\zeta}$.

Theorem 42. *As braided tensor categories, $\mathcal{O}^q$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{O}^{q,\zeta}$.*

Proof. Let $F^\zeta : V^q \longrightarrow V^{q,\zeta}$ be the functor between the categories $\mathcal{O}^q$ and $\mathcal{O}^{q,\zeta}$. For any $V^q, V'^q \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{O}^q)$, there exists an isomorphism of $U_{q,\zeta}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules

$$\xi_{V^q,V'^q} : (V^q \otimes V'^q)^\zeta \longrightarrow V^{q,\zeta} \otimes V'^{q,\zeta},$$

where

$$\xi_{V^q,V'^q}(v \otimes v') = \zeta(\mu', \mu)v \otimes v', \quad \forall\, v \in V^q_\mu,\ v' \in V'^q_{\mu'}.$$

Assume that $v \otimes v' \in (V^q \otimes V'^q)^\zeta_\lambda$, where $\mu + \mu' = \lambda$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_{V^q,V'^q}(x \cdot_\zeta (v \otimes v')) &= \zeta(\alpha - \beta, \lambda)\zeta(\alpha, \beta)\xi_{V^q,V'^q}(x.(v \otimes v'))\\
&= \zeta(\alpha - \beta, \lambda)\zeta(\alpha, \beta)\xi_{V^q,V'^q}(\Delta(x)(v \otimes v'))
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \zeta(\alpha-\beta,\lambda)\zeta(\alpha,\beta)\xi_{V^q,V'^q}\Big(\sum_\gamma x_{\alpha,\gamma}.v\otimes x_{-\gamma,\beta}.v'\Big)$$

$$= \zeta(\alpha-\beta,\mu+\mu')\zeta(\alpha,\beta)\Big(\sum_\gamma \zeta(\mu'+\beta-\gamma,\mu+\alpha+\gamma)x_{\alpha,\gamma}.v\otimes x_{-\gamma,\beta}.v'\Big)$$

$$= \zeta(\mu',\mu)\zeta(\alpha,\mu)\zeta(\mu',\beta)\Big(\sum_\gamma \zeta(\mu+\mu'+\alpha+\beta,\gamma)x_{\alpha,\gamma}.v\otimes x_{-\gamma,\beta}.v'\Big).$$

On the other hand,

$$x\cdot_\zeta(\xi_{V^q,V'^q}(v\otimes v')) = \zeta(\mu,\mu')x\cdot_\zeta(v\otimes v')$$

$$= \zeta(\mu',\mu)\Big(\sum x_{\alpha,\gamma}\cdot_\zeta v\otimes x_{-\gamma,\beta}\cdot_\zeta v'\Big)$$

$$= \zeta(\mu',\mu)\Big(\sum_\gamma \zeta(\alpha-\gamma,\mu)\zeta(\alpha,\gamma)\zeta(-\gamma-\beta,\mu')\zeta(-\gamma,\beta)x_{\alpha,\gamma}.v\otimes x_{-\gamma,\beta}.v'\Big)$$

$$= \zeta(\mu',\mu)\zeta(\alpha,\mu)\zeta(\mu',\beta)\Big(\sum_\gamma \zeta(\mu+\mu'+\alpha+\beta,\gamma)x_{\alpha,\gamma}.v\otimes x_{-\gamma,\beta}.v'\Big).$$

Hence,

$$\xi_{V^q,V'^q}(x\cdot_\zeta(v\otimes v')) = x\cdot_\zeta(\xi_{V^q,V'^q}(v\otimes v')).$$

That is, ξ_{V^q,V'^q} is a homomorphism of $U_{q,\zeta}$-modules. It is straightforward to prove that ξ_{V^q,V'^q} is an isomorphism. Next, we shall show that the functor F^ζ preserves the braiding of $\mathcal{O}^q$. For any V^q, $V'^q\in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{O}^q)$, we define

$$R^{q,\zeta}_{V^{q,\zeta},V'^{q,\zeta}} := \xi_{V'^q,V^q}\circ R^q_{V^q,V'^q}\circ \xi^{-1}_{V^q,V'^q}.$$

Then $R^{q,\zeta}_{V^{q,\zeta},V'^{q,\zeta}}: V^{q,\zeta}\otimes V'^{q,\zeta}\longrightarrow V'^{q,\zeta}\otimes V^{q,\zeta}$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{O}^{q,\zeta}$ and $R^{q,\zeta}_{V^{q,\zeta},V^{q,\zeta}}$ satisfies

$$R^{q,\zeta}_{12}R^{q,\zeta}_{23}R^{q,\zeta}_{12} = R^{q,\zeta}_{23}R^{q,\zeta}_{12}R^{q,\zeta}_{23}.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 43. *As braided tensor categories, we have the following equivalence.*

$$\mathcal{O}^q \simeq \mathcal{O}^{r,s}. \tag{44}$$

References

[1] N. Andruskiewitsch, B. Enriquez, *Examples of compact matrix pseudogroups arising from the twisting operation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **149** (1992), 195–207.

[2] M. Artin, W. Schelter, and J. Tate, *Quantum deformations of $GL(n)$*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **4**4 (1991), 879–895.

[3] N. Bergeron, Y. Gao and N. Hu, *Drinfel'd doubles and Lusztig's symmetries of two-parameter quantum groups*, J. Algebra, **301** (2006), 378–405.

[4] N. Bergeron, Y. Gao and N. Hu, *Representations of two-parameter quantum orthogonal groups and symplectic groups*, arXiv:math/0510124, AMS/IP: Studies Adv. Math. **39**, (2007), 1–21.

[5] G. Benkart and S. Witherspoon, *Two-parameter quantum groups (of type A) and Drinfel'd doubles*, Algebr. Represent. Theory, **7** (2004), 261–286.

[6] G. Benkart and S. Witherspoon, *Representations of two-parameter quantum groups and Schur-Weyl duality*, Hopf Algebras, pp. 65–92, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **237**, Dekker, New York, 2004.

[7] Y. Doi and M. Takeuchi, *Multiplication alteration by two-cocyles: the quantum-version*, Comm. Algebra **22**, (1994), 5715–5732.

[8] I. Heckenberger, *The Weyl groupoid of a Nichols algebra of diagonal type*, Invent. Math. **164**, (2006), 175–188.

[9] T. Hodges, T. Levasseur, M. Toro, *Algebraic structure of multi-parameter quantum groups*, Adv. Math. 126 (1997), 52–92.

[10] J. Hu, Y. Zhang, *Quantum double of* $\mathrm{U}_q((\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\leq 0})$, J. Algebra, **317**, (2007), 87–110.

[11] N. Hu, Y. Pei, *Notes on two-parameter quantum groups, (I)*, **51** (6) (2008), 1101–1110. arXiv.math.QA/0702298.

[12] N. Hu, Y. Pei, M. Rosso, *Multi-parameter quantum groups and quantum shuffles, (I)*, Contemp. Math. (to appear), arXiv:0811.0129.

[13] S. Majid, *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory*, Cambridge U.P, Cambridge, 1995.

[14] S. Montgomery, *Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings*, CBMS Conf. Math. Publ., **82**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1993.

[15] Y. Pei, *Multiparameter quantized enveloping algebras and their realizations*, Ph. D. thesis, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China, 2007.

[16] N. Reshetikhin, *Multiparameter quantum groups and twisted quasitriangular Hopf algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **2**0, (1990), pp. 331–335.

[17] M. Rosso, *Quantum groups and quantum shuffles*, Invent. Math., **133** (1998), 399–416.

[18] S. Westreich, *Hopf algebras of type* A_n*, twistings and the FRT-construction*, Algebr. Represent. Theory, **11**, (2008), 63–82.

Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, PR China

E-mail address: `nhhu@math.ecnu.edu.cn`

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Guilin Road 100, Shanghai 200234 PR China

E-mail address: `peiyufeng@gmail.com, pei@shnu.edu.cn`